

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,185,900 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** ***Nov. 17, 2015**

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

669,148	A	3/1901	Smith	
1,026,406	A	5/1912	Nichols	
1,250,594	A	12/1917	Knapp	
1,752,593	A *	4/1930	Giordano	52/519
2,131,844	A	10/1938	Mooshian	
2,922,385	A	1/1960	Murray	
3,344,569	A	10/1967	Cotten	
3,626,906	A	12/1971	Voran et al.	
4,008,549	A	2/1977	Dobija	
4,052,830	A	10/1977	Smith	
4,091,586	A	5/1978	Schwartz	
4,214,510	A	7/1980	Ward	
4,319,528	A	3/1982	Gutridge et al.	
4,660,333	A	4/1987	Romer	
4,660,463	A	4/1987	Bottomore et al.	
4,773,173	A	9/1988	Christian	
4,829,730	A	5/1989	Zeilinger	
4,837,991	A	6/1989	Shaw	
5,199,232	A	4/1993	Chandler et al.	
5,303,523	A	4/1994	Hand et al.	
5,406,758	A	4/1995	Baum	
5,487,199	A	1/1996	Nelson	
5,501,044	A	3/1996	Janesky	

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CH	87506		*	12/1920	
DE	19601789	A1	*	7/1997 A01M 29/00
DE	29715760	U1	*	1/1998	

Primary Examiner — Adriana Figueroa
(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm — Fish & Tsang LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2015/0113906 A1 Apr. 30, 2015


Related U.S. Application Data

(60) Continuation of application No. 14/172,019, filed on Feb. 4, 2014, now Pat. No. 8,925,256, which is a division of application No. 14/045,664, filed on Oct. 3, 2013, now Pat. No. 8,689,497, which is a division of application No. 11/085,965, filed on Mar. 21, 2005, now Pat. No. 8,640,397.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
E04B 1/72 (2006.01)
A01M 29/32 (2011.01)
E04D 13/00 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC *A01M 29/32* (2013.01); *E04B 1/72*
(2013.01); *E04D 13/004* (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
CPC A01M 29/32; E04D 13/004; E04B 1/72
See application file for complete search history.



(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,630,297	A	5/1997	Rutherford
5,769,562	A	6/1998	Jones
5,913,780	A	6/1999	Goergen
5,941,028	A	8/1999	Hicks
6,115,982	A	9/2000	Lindenberg
6,131,348	A	10/2000	Dunham
6,243,995	B1	6/2001	Reeves et al.
6,546,676	B2	4/2003	Wiesener et al.
6,591,559	B2	7/2003	Contreras et al.
6,625,925	B1	9/2003	Foster

6,643,990	B2	11/2003	Jensen
6,848,220	B2	2/2005	Faurholdt et al.
6,941,706	B2	9/2005	Austin et al.
7,200,970	B2	4/2007	Koenig, Jr. et al.
2002/0073633	A1	6/2002	Schlichting et al.
2002/0139060	A1	10/2002	Contreras et al.
2002/0157325	A1	10/2002	Domanico
2003/0029125	A1	2/2003	Salice
2004/0194423	A1	10/2004	Payne
2005/0166527	A1	8/2005	Ernest et al.
2005/0221028	A1 *	10/2005	Westcott et al. 428/34.1
2008/0110106	A1	5/2008	Holly

* cited by examiner

FIG. 1





FIG. 2A








FIG. 2B



ADJUSTABLE BIRD SLOPE

This application is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/172,019, filed on Feb. 4, 2014, which is a divisional application of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/045,664, filed on Oct. 3, 2013, now issued U.S. Pat. No. 8,689,497, which is a divisional of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/085,965, filed on Mar. 21, 2005, now issued U.S. Pat. No. 8,640,397. This and all other extrinsic materials discussed herein are incorporated by reference in their entirety. Where a definition or use of a term in an incorporated reference is inconsistent or contrary to the definition of that term provided herein, the definition of that term provided herein applies and the definition of that term in the reference does not apply.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The field of the invention is bird deterrent devices.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Some bird deterrents rely on a sloped surface to deter birds from alighting. In such instances the deterring aspect of the device is usually based on a combination of the degree of slope of the surface and the texture of the surface. One such device is taught in U.S. Pat. No. 3,626,906 to Voran et al. The '906 patent describes an egg guard for a poultry cage, which is sloped so that a bird would slide off it.

Another bird deterrent with a sloped surface is described in U.S. Publication No. 2002/0073633. That publication actually describes birds sliding off of the surface. U.S. Publication No. 2004/0194423 describes still other sloped devices.

All of these devices suffer from dimensional limitations; a bird must land on the sloped surface in order to be deterred. If the device is too small to cover the entire perching area, a bird will simply land along side of it. Consider, for example, a ledge of a building where birds are likely to perch. Such ledges can range considerably in size. A sloped bird deterrent that covers less than the entire space of the ledge is virtually worthless since birds can perch on the portion of the ledge that is not covered by the slope. At the same time, a sloped bird deterrent that is too large for a particular perch can be unsteady or at least will be aesthetically displeasing.

The wide range of possible deployment surfaces and shapes creates considerable problem in manufacturing and distribution of sloped bird deterrent products. Thus, there is a need for a sloped bird deterrent that can be adjusted to fit a variety of different sized areas.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides methods and apparatus in which an improved bird slider can be adjusted to fit the size of a perching area. The improvement comprises at least one extendible member that alters an effective height of a laterally elongated face of the slider.

In another aspect, a bird deterrent generally comprises a base, a support member coupled to the base, a laterally elongated face, and an extendible member. The laterally elongated face is coupled to the support member such that the face is angled between 20 to 80 degrees relative to horizontal. The extendible member extends from the elongated face and alters an effective height of the face.

Various objects, features, aspects and advantages of the present invention will become more apparent from the following detailed description of preferred embodiments of the

invention, along with the accompanying drawings in which like numerals represent like components.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of a bird deterrent with an extendible face.

FIG. 2A is a side view of an alternative bird deterrent with an extendible face.

FIG. 2B is a side view of an alternative bird deterrent with an extendible face.

FIG. 3A is a base of a bird deterrent.

FIG. 3B is an alternative base of a bird deterrent.

FIG. 4 is a perspective view of corrugated extended face of a bird deterrent.

FIG. 5 is a side view of an alternative bird deterrent.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Referring first to FIG. 1, a bird deterrent **100** generally comprises a base **110**, a support member **120**, a laterally elongated face **130**, and extendible members **140**.

Base **110** has a bottom **105** that is configured to rest on a ledge or other perch. It is preferred that the length of the bottom be long enough so that the deterrent is steady on the perch yet not be so long that it extends beyond the surface of the perch. A preferable bottom is therefore adjustable in its length. Such adjustments can be accomplished using various techniques that can include providing a hinged bottom that can be folded or unfolded or a telescoped bottom that can be extended or contracted. It should be appreciated that the bottom of the base can be coupled to the face or it can be formed from the face, for example, by a bend in the face.

Support **120** is coupled to face **130** at point **132** and at hinge line **135**. In each case, the support can be either permanently or removeably coupled to the face by bolts, solder, hinges, pins, or other coupling means. Moreover, at point **132**, face **130** can simply rest upon support **120**. In a preferred class of embodiments, the support is sized, and the bottom is angled, such that the angle **150** between the face **130** and horizontal **155** (i.e. a plane that is substantially parallel to the ground beneath the deterrent) is between 20 degrees and 80 degrees. This angle should be sufficient so that birds will slide off of the face. As such, the angle can vary depending upon the material used on the surface of the face. If the material of the face results in relatively little friction (e.g. Teflon™), the angle can not have to be as severe as when a less slippery material is used. It is contemplated that angle **150** can be adjustable based on the length of the support **120**. By extending the support, one can create a greater angle and vice versa.

It is further contemplated that the length of the support can be used to adjust the angle of the base bottom **110** relative to horizontal **155**. In order that the base bottom **110** be adjustable relative to horizontal **155**, it is preferred that base bottom **110** be hingedly coupled to face **130**. It should be recognized that it is advantageous for the angle of the bottom to approximate the angle of the perch so as to attain a better fit and more stability.

Face **130** is substantially planar and is generally comprised of a material (e.g. a synthetic polymer, Teflon™, and so on) that has a smooth texture. A face is typically elongated laterally (e.g. extending the length of a ledge), but in certain cases its extended length **160** is greater than its lateral length **165**. In any case, the extended length of the face is customizable to the area upon which the bird deterrent will be placed. In addition, it is further contemplated that a bird deterrent can be constructed such that it can extend and contract laterally too

3

in order to fit the length of particular perching areas. In that respect, face **130** can be scored in the direction of the slope as along line **147**.

FIG. 4 depicts an alternative face of a bird deterrent. The bird deterrent **400** has waves **410** which provide a corrugated effect. It can be observed that the waves are elongated in the direction of the slope so that they do not aid a bird in alighting on the bird deterrent.

Extendible members **140** are coupled to face **130** and effectively alter the height (or extended length) **160** of the face **130**. As can be observed, the height of the face is the distance between the top of the deterrent and the bottom **105** of the base. The effective height of the face can vary considerably, however, it is preferred that the face be capable of extending at least 0.5 m. Not only can the height of the face be effectively extended, but it can also be effectively shortened by removal of an extendible portion. In order to provide for easy removal of extendible portions, face **130** has laterally extending scores **145**. By having a scored (i.e. perforated) breakaway, extendible portions can be easily removed thereby facilitating customization of the deterrent to a particular perching area.

Turning your attention now to FIG. 2A, bird deterrent **200** resting upon a ledge **275** has an extendible member **220** that is coupled to the face **210** using a grooved connection comprised of an upper edge **230** and a lower edge **240** of the extendible member **220**. Face **210** has a top portion **235** which is received within the groove. Many other grooved embodiments are contemplated including those in which the face forms the groove as opposed to the extendible member. It should also be recognized that although the embodiment depicted in FIG. 2A shows only one extendible member, there can be additional extendible members coupled in sequence.

It is preferred that the face of a bird deterrent be substantially contiguous. In that respect, an angle between the face and the extendible member **250** will probably not exceed 10°. In less preferred embodiments, the angle can exceed 10°, however, so long as the device remains effective.

In FIG. 2B, a bird deterrent **280** has an extendible member **295** that is coupled to a face **285** using a telescoping connection. The telescoping connection basically allows effective extension and compression of the face. It can be observed that there can be a tongue **287** and groove **288** or other type of arrangement that provides for a stopping point for extension of the telescoping members.

FIG. 2B also shows netting **282** which is coupled to the bird deterrent so that birds do not nest underneath the bird deterrent. Of course, the ends of the bird deterrent can be sealed using other materials and methods so long as they prevent birds (and even other animals) from getting underneath the deterrent.

FIG. 3A shows the base **300** of a bird deterrent with glue troughs **310**. The glue troughs are used to couple the bird deterrent to a ledge or other perching area. While coupling of the base is not an absolute necessity, it is preferred since it tends to stabilize the device. One of skill in the art will appreciate that a bird deterrent can be coupled to a building in a variety of ways. An alternative embodiment is shown in FIG. 3B. In this embodiment, the base is provided with screw holes **320** so that the base can be easily screwed or bolted in place.

FIG. 5 shows an alternative bird deterrent in which the face **510** is supported by two bases **520** and **530** in contact with a building wall **540** and a ledge **550**. Each of the bases are coupled to, or formed from, the face. The first base **520** preferably rests against a vertical wall **540** of a building and the second base **530** preferably rests upon a horizontal ledge

4

550 of a building. It should be appreciated that the bases can have means for coupling to the building and ledge (e.g. glue trough, screw holes, Velcro™, and so on) and such coupling is preferred since this embodiment is not supported on its own, but only through contact with the building wall and the ledge.

Thus, specific embodiments and applications of a sloped bird deterrent have been 20 disclosed. It should be apparent, however, to those skilled in the art that many more modifications besides those already described are possible without departing from the inventive concepts herein. The inventive subject matter, therefore, is not to be restricted except in the spirit of the appended claims. Moreover, in interpreting both the specification and the claims, all terms should be interpreted in the broadest possible manner consistent with the context. In particular, the terms “comprises” and “comprising” should be interpreted as referring to elements, components, or steps in a non-exclusive manner, indicating that the referenced elements, components, or steps can be present, or utilized, or combined with other elements, components, or steps that are not expressly referenced.

What is claimed is:

1. A method of manufacturing a device sized and dimensioned to prevent birds from landing on a perching area comprising:

providing a base of the device;

providing a face of the device, the face including at least first and second portions, wherein the first portion is removable from the second portion to alter an effective height of the face;

providing a support member configured to extend between the face and the base, wherein the face is angled between 20 to 80 degrees relative to the base; and

wherein the face is sized and dimensioned to overlie at least a portion of each of the base and the support member when the base is horizontal, and wherein the support member is sized and dimensioned to overlie at least a portion of the base when the base is horizontal.

2. The method of claim 1, wherein the first portion comprises a groove that is configured to receive a lip of the second portion.

3. The method of claim 1, wherein the second portion comprises a groove that is configured to receive a lip of the first portion.

4. The method of claim 1, wherein the first and second portions are removably attached via a scored breakaway.

5. The method of claim 1, wherein providing the base of the device comprises providing the base such that it is adjustable in length.

6. The method of claim 1, wherein the base is adapted to rest on a ledge.

7. The method of claim 1, wherein the first portion extends at least 0.5 m.

8. The method of claim 1, wherein the face is comprised of a synthetic polymer.

9. The method of claim 1, wherein the support member is hingedly coupled to the face.

10. The method of claim 1, wherein the face has a smooth texture.

11. The method of claim 1, wherein the face comprises laterally extending scores.

12. The method of claim 1, further comprising adjusting a length of the support member to adjust an angle of the face relative to the base.

13. A method of manufacturing a device sized and dimensioned to prevent birds from landing on a perching area comprising:

providing a base coupled to a face via a support member configured to extend between the face and the base, wherein the face is angled between 20 to 80 degrees relative to the base; and

wherein the face includes a first portion and at least a first removable portion; 5

providing a support member configured to extend between the face and the base; and

wherein the face is sized and dimensioned to overlie at least a portion of each of the base and the support member 10 when the base is horizontal, and wherein the support member is sized and dimensioned to overlie at least a portion of the base when the base is horizontal.

14. The method of claim **13**, wherein the removable portion comprises a groove that is configured to receive a lip of the 15 first portion.

15. The method of claim **13**, wherein the first portion comprises a groove that is configured to receive a lip of the removable portion.

16. The method of claim **13**, wherein the removable portion 20 and the first portion are removably attached via a scored breakaway.

17. The method of claim **13**, wherein the base is adjustable in length.

18. The method of claim **13**, wherein the base is adapted to 25 rest on a ledge.

* * * * *